

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/231,791	01/15/1999	GIUSEPPE GUARINO	Q-52856	2603
7590 05/24/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE,MION,ZINN,MACPEAK & SEAS			DOROSHENK, ALEXA A	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE WASHINGTON, DC 200373202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1764	
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/231,791	GUARINO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alexa A. Doroshenk	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ap	oril 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	ıminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ite.					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/231,791

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 continue to be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poussin (5,202,097) as presented in the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences filed February 10, 2004.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed April 9, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claims have been amended to make it clear that the gas fed to the catalytic bed cannot bypass the catalyst itself and a "seal" is obtained which forces the gas to pass through the catalyst and that "this feature is totally missing" from the Poussin reference.

This argument is found to lack merit. Poussin discloses in column 7, lines 19-22:

Above the stack, a metal cap (10) surrounding the upper part thereof, is immersed in the catalyst bed and seals the stack with respect to the charge.

Accordingly, Poussin teaches the now claimed limitation.

Application/Control Number: 09/231,791

Art Unit: 1764

Applicant continues to argue that Poussin does not positively disclose a central stack with perforations extending to the upper and lower ends with a free space defined between the cover and the stack.

Applicant is directed to pages 5-7 under the heading "New Rejections under §103" in the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences filed February 10, 2004 which states that "Based on the teachings of Poussin, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonably expected that the cap 10 would cover perforations in the gas tube 9", that "several figures exemplify that a space is present between the tube 9 and cap 10" and that "Poussin discloses that the gas tube 9 can be covered by a grid 30 that would provide a space between the cap 10 and the tube 9".

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/231,791

Art Unit: 1764

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alexa A. Doroshenk whose telephone number is 571-

272-1446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 9:00 AM

- 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexa Doroshenk Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1764

May 18, 2004

Page 4